

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/679,298	10/07/2003		Tetsuya Kanemaru	053466-0372	3585	
22428	7590	03/11/2005		EXAMINER		
FOLEY A		DNER	VANIK, E	VANIK, DAVID L		
3000 K STREET NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DO	20007		1615		

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			j.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/679,298	KANEMARU ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David L. Vanik	1615					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
-	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) $\underline{1-19}$ are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/	a)_(d) or (f)					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attacheranta							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] (magazidani poni	(DTO 442)					
2) Notice of Professor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S Patent and Trademark Office	o)						

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the applicant's Preliminary Amendment filed on 10/07/2003.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-4, 12-14, 16-19 drawn to products, a silicone-treated powder composition, classified in class 424, subclass 401.
 - II. Claims 5 and 15, drawn to a resin-molded article, classified in class classified in class 424, subclass 422+.
 - III. Claims 6-11, drawn to process for producing a silicone-treated powder, classified in class 424, subclass 489.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, and II are unrelated to one another. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions have distinct functions. Invention I is drawn to a silicone-treated powder whereas Invention II is dawn to a resinmolded article. As such, a reference anticipating one group of inventions would not necessarily render the other inventions obvious.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,298

Art Unit: 1615

3. Inventions I and II and Invention III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, a silicone-treated powder composition can be produced by (1) stirring a silicone component with other components (such as fillers or preservatives) at room temperature, (2) dispersing the liquid mixture with high sheer equipment, (3) and heating the dispersion until a homogeneous dispersion is obtained.

Page 3

- 4. Searching the inventions of Groups I III together would impose a search burden on the examiner. In the instant case, the search of functionally distinct products as well as the method of producing said products would impose a search burden.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for each subset of Groups I III are not required for one another, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,298

Art Unit: 1615

Page 4

- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. In the event that applicant elects Group I, the following election of species is required. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a cosmetic composition chosen from the following:
 - i. solid foundation, pressed powder, face powder, body powder.
 - ii. emulsion foundation
 - iii. UV blocking stick, water-in-oil type emulsion sunscreen
 - iv. lipstick

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 2 and 12 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Page 5

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

10. A telephone interview was conducted with applicant's attorney, Matthew Mulkeen, on 3/2/2005 during which time a request was made for a written restriction/election requirement.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David L. Vanik whose telephone number is (571) 272-3104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/679,298

Art Unit: 1615

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Vanik, Ph.D. Art Unit 1615

3/2/-8

THURMAN K PAGE SUPERVISORY PAGE EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600